

**RFP 042019**  
**Question & Answer Document**

1. The term sheet states that each bidder worksheet will now contain the Bidder's Company Name. Can you provide some background that prompted this change in the process? Will [Bidders'] name[s] continue to be kept confidential when the bidder workbooks are published?

**Answer:** Yes Bidder Workbooks will now contain Company Names, and yes, Bidder and Company names will continue to be kept confidential. UI will continue to conduct this RFP in compliance with the State of Connecticut Power Procurement Plan for Standard Service<sup>1</sup> including the confidentiality rules and requirements, as further specified in the Term Sheet RFP 042019.<sup>2</sup> As noted in this Question 1, the Term Sheet RFP 042019 provides:

**NEW PROCESS:** UI will issue customized Bidder Workbooks to each Bidder which will now include the Bidder's Company Name therein (e.g., Bidder J – Company Name). **Additionally, Bidders will now submit Bids in electronic format by the deadline to both of the following e-mail addresses: [uipower@uinet.com](mailto:uipower@uinet.com) AND [Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov](mailto:Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov).**

**Please submit Bids in one (1) email to both email addresses, not two separate emails.**

In considering whether to implement a change to the procurement process, the Company balances the pros and cons (weighs the costs and benefits) of making any such change. The time efficiency value of having a process whereby Bidder Workbooks are e-mailed to both e-mail addresses, containing the Company names, was considered. It is the Company's understanding that the shorter the time duration of the RFP process, the better. On the other side of the token, the need for additional administrative efforts to ensure redaction of the Company names was also considered.

All in all, UI welcomes any e-mail correspondence sent to [uipower@uinet.com](mailto:uipower@uinet.com) from Bidders providing comment with regard to this weighing of pros and cons.

2. Does UI have PY19/20 ICAP tags for any of the classes or products available in the Apr 2019 auction? If available, does UI plan to distribute the tags prior to the auction?

**Answer:** The peak load capacity values for the 2019 Power Year (PY) June 1, 2019-May 31, 2020 are due to ISO-NE by May 2, 2019 and are currently under development. As such, they will not be available for the April 23, 2019 Procurement day.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Power Procurement Plan for Standard Service (the "Plan") was approved by the Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority on October 12, 2012 in Docket No. 12-06-02, with revisions and modifications to the Plan approved on August 13, 2014 in Docket No. 12-06-02RE01, and on December 20, 2017 in Docket No. 12-06-02RE03.

<sup>2</sup> UI Term Sheet RFP 042019, available at [UI SS & LRS RFPs](#).

3. Can you please provide the latest update (and any relevant data, if possible) for the mappings of GST and LPT to the Standard Service and LRS products for both energy and demand?

**Answer:** For the one year period that ended March 31, 2019, the following mapping represents actual mapping of GST and LPT load: For GST, 71.6% was mapped to Standard Service eligibility for energy (kWh) and 70.3% for demand (kW). For LPT, about 31.4% was mapped to Standard Service eligibility for energy and about 35.2% for demand. For GST, 28.4% was mapped to Last Resort Service eligibility for energy (kWh) and 29.7% for demand (kW). For LPT, about 68.6% was mapped to Last Resort Service eligibility for energy and about 64.8% for demand.

4. Has the Jan-Feb 2018 Requested Billing Adjustment (RBA) been approved officially by ISO New England (ISO-NE)?

**Answer:** Yes, the January and February 2018 Requested Billing Adjustments (RBAs) were approved by ISO-NE and have been financially settled in the ISO-NE market.

5. Are you aware of any upcoming municipal aggregation in the UI territory?

**Answer:** The Company is not aware is not aware of any upcoming aggregation in UI territory.